

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/382,426	08/24/1999	JEFFRY JOVAN PHILYAW	PHLY-24.732	5220
25883 7590 04/05/2007 HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 7417	15		FADOK, MARK A	
DALLAS, TX 75374-1715			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			 -	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Occurrence	09/382,426	PHILYAW ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark Fadok	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	nuan/ 2007						
Pa) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
<u>, </u>	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	x parte Quayre, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	30 G.G. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. S. 110(a)	(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-/	•					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Examiner is in receipt of applicant's response to office action mailed 7/18/2006, which was received 1/18/2007. Acknowledgement is made to the amendment to claims 1,11,14,24 and 27, leaving claims 1-27 as pending in the instant application. The applicant's amendments and remarks have been carefully considered but were not found to be persuasive, therefore the previous office action modified as necessitated by amendment follows:

Examiner's Note

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/382,426

Art Unit: 3625

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11,14-17,19-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortenberry (US 6,005,939) in view of Hartman (US 5960411).

In regards to claim 1-11,14-17,19-24 and 27 the combination of Fortenberry and Hartman teach a method of processing profile information of a user for conducting an on-line transaction between the user and a vendor (abstract), comprising the steps of:

entering profile information of a user into a profile form at a user location disposed on a network prior to conduction of an on-line transaction between the user and the vendor (col 7, lines 39-45),

the vendor disposed at a vendor location on the network (2a, item 210);

issuing to the user a unique code representing stored profile information of the user in response to the user transmitting the profile form from the user location to a second location on the network for storage thereat (col 7, lines 45-65).

the second location disposed on the network (FIG 2a, item 216);

initiating an on-line transaction by selecting a product of the vendor at a user location (col 8, lines 29-31);

after selecting the product, providing to the vendor location by the user the unique code for purchase of the product during the on-line transaction (col 8, lines 31-33),

Application/Control Number: 09/382,426

Art Unit: 3625

providing the stored profile information from the second location to the vendor location in response to the vendor location receiving and processing the unique code (col 8); and

Fortenberry teaches passing information from a third party to a vendor to process a transaction after receiving a unique identifier authorizing the release of sensitive information to a vendor (col 8, lines 29-31), but does not specifically mention inserting released information into a form automatically before submittal to a user. Hartman teaches automatically filling a confirmation webpage at a server which includes user sensitive information for processing the order (FIG 6 and 1C). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to generate the web page at the vendor and sending it to the user, because this will be more efficient by eliminating a step and the need for additional software for filling in the web page on the user computer after sending information to the user and sending the web page to the user separately. Further, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in Fortenberry the confirmation page of Hartman, because this was a notoriously well known means for presenting a final order summary that assures the user that the vendor has the order correct.

In regards claim 8, the combination of Fortenberry and Hartman teach wherein the step of automatically inserting causes only a portion of the profile information to be entered into the vendor payment form as encoded information (Hartman, FIG 1C).

Application/Control Number: 09/382,426

Art Unit: 3625

Claims 12 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

Page 5

over Fortenberry in view of Hartman and further in view of Rhoads (US 6,311,214).

In regards to claims 12 and 25, the combination of Fortenberry and Hartman

teach a second location, but does not specifically mention that the second location is a

credit card company server. The examiner takes official notice that it was old and well

known in the art at the time of the invention to utilize credit card servers as a server for

storage and dissemination of credit card information. It would have been obvious to a

person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the

combination of Fortenberry and Hartman the use of a credit card company to store and

disseminated the information, because this is a notoriously well known place to store

this type of information and preventing these companies from participating in the

invention of Fortenberry would reduce the potential sales market and reduce revenues.

Claims 13,18, 26,28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Fortenberry in view of Hartman and further in view of Rhoads

(US 6,311,214).

Art Unit: 3625

In regards to claims 13, 18,26,28 and 29, the combination of Fortenberry and Hartman teach providing a unique code to the user for accessing a second server from a vendor, but does not specifically mention that the unique code is a barcode on a credit card. Rhoads teaches that the unique code is placed on a credit card (Rhoads, col 1, lines 35-40).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include in Fortenberry and Hartman the improvements as cited in Rhoads, because utilizing existing infrastructure, along with the convenience of having the access code readily available will provide for increased usage of the system and therefore increased revenue.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **571.272.6755**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeff Smith** can be reached on **571.272.6763**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3625

571-273-8300

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

For general questions the receptionist can be reached at

571.272.3600

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Fadok

Primary Examiner